COMP 8920: Cryptography

Winter 2025

Lecture 24 — April 3, 2025

Prof. Curtis Bright

Scribe: Mahzabin Chowdhury

### Semantically Secure RSA

We make RSA semantically secure by introducing randomness into the cryptosystem, adding a random oracle  $G: \mathbb{Z}_2^k \to \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  into the public key. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}_2^k \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ , and define

$$e_k(x) = (r^b \bmod n, G(r) \oplus x) \tag{1}$$

where  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^k \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  for random  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_2^k$  and

$$d_k((y_1, y_2)) = G(y_1^a \bmod n) \oplus y_2 \tag{2}$$

This works, since  $d_k(y_1, y_2)$  equals

$$G((r^b \bmod n)^a \bmod n) \oplus y_2 = G(r^{ab} \bmod n) \oplus G(r) \oplus x \tag{3}$$

$$= G(r) \oplus G(r) \oplus x \tag{4}$$

$$=x$$
 (5)

since  $r^{ab} = r$ .

An informal argument why this is semantically secure (i.e., the distinguishing problem can't be solved with probability more than  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) is that in order to determine any information about x we must determine the mask G(r). Any partial information about r is useless because G is a random oracle; the only way to compute G(r) is to determine r. Under the assumption that RSA is secure, this augmented cryptosystem is semantically secure. The main drawback is data expansion: m bits of plaintext expand to m + k bits of ciphertext.

# The Discrete Log Problem

Say G is a group,  $\alpha \in G$  of order n, and define  $\langle \alpha \rangle = \{\alpha^i : 0 \le i \le n-1\}$  to be the cyclic group generated by  $\alpha$ . For instance  $G = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  where p is prime, and  $\alpha$  is a primitive element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , i.e.,  $\langle \alpha \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ .

The discrete log problem is: given  $\beta \in \langle \alpha \rangle$  to determine the value of i for which  $\beta = \alpha^i$ , i.e., compute  $i = \log_{\alpha}(\beta)$ , the discrete log of  $\beta$  base  $\alpha$ .

Example: take p = 2579 and  $\alpha = 2$ , a primitive element in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ . What is  $\log_2(949)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ ?

In contrast to logs over the reals, computing logs in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  seems difficult in general. The naive strategy would be to compute  $2^2, 2^3, 2^4, \ldots, 2^{p-2} \pmod{p}$  until 949 is reached. In the worst case, this uses at most p evaluations of  $\alpha$  mod p. Since each multiplication mod p is  $O((\log p)^2)$  bit operations,

this uses  $O(p(\log p)^2)$  bit operations, which is  $O(2^{\log p}(\log p)^2)$ . In contrast to logarithms over the reals, computing discrete logs in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$  is generally difficult.

The naive strategy would be to compute

$$2^2, 2^3, 2^4, \dots, 2^{p-2} \mod p$$

until 949 is reached. In the worst case, this requires at most p evaluations of powers modulo p. Each multiplication modulo p takes  $O((\log p)^2)$  bit operations, so the total cost is:

$$O(p(\log p)^2) = O(2^{\log_2 p} \cdot (\log p)^2),$$

which is exponential time in  $\log p$ .

#### ElGamal Cryptosystem

The ElGamal cryptosystem is based on the difficulty of the discrete logarithm problem. Suppose:

- $\bullet$  p is a prime
- $\alpha$  is a primitive element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$
- Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_p^*$
- Let the keyspace be  $\mathcal{K} = \{(p, \alpha, a, \beta) : \beta \equiv \alpha^a \mod p\}.$

Public key:  $(p, \alpha, \beta)$ 

Private key:  $a = \log_{\alpha} \beta$ 

## Encryption

To encrypt a message x, choose a random  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$  and compute:

$$\operatorname{Enc}_k(x) = (\alpha^k \mod p, \ x \cdot \beta^k \mod p)$$

Let:

$$(y_1, y_2) = (\alpha^k, x \cdot \beta^k) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_p^*$$

### Decryption

To decrypt  $(y_1, y_2)$ , compute:

$$x = y_2 \cdot (y_1^a)^{-1} \mod p$$

The encryption "masks" x by multiplying it with  $\beta^k$ , a random-looking element. Eve knows  $\beta$ , but not k, and would need to solve:

$$k = \log_{\alpha}(\alpha^k)$$

which is presumed hard.

However, Bob can compute  $\beta^k$  without knowing k:

$$(\alpha^k)^a \equiv \alpha^{ak} \equiv (\alpha^a)^k \equiv \beta^k \mod p$$

Once  $\beta^k$  is computed, its inverse modulo p,  $(\beta^k)^{-1}$ , is easy to find using the Euclidean algorithm.

Eve would need to compute  $a = \log_{\alpha} \beta$ , which is presumed to be a hard discrete log problem.

#### Example

Let:

$$p = 2579, \quad \alpha = 2, \quad \beta = 949$$

Alice wants to send message x = 1299. She picks a random k = 853 and computes:

$$y_1 = 2^{853} \mod 2579 = 435$$
  
 $\beta^k = 949^{853} \mod 2579 = 2396$   
 $y_2 = 1299 \cdot 2396 \mod 2579 = 2396$ 

So the ciphertext is:

$$(y_1, y_2) = (435, 2396)$$

Bob's private key is a = 765. He computes:

$$x = 2396 \cdot (435^{765})^{-1} \mod 2579$$

$$435^{765} \mod 2579 = 2424, \mod 2424^{-1} \mod 2579 = 1980$$

$$x = 2396 \cdot 1980 \mod 2579 = 1299$$

#### **Security Consideration**

To be secure, p should have at least 2048 bits, and p-1 should have at least one large prime factor.

A common approach is to choose p of the form:

$$p = 2q + 1$$

where q is also prime. Such primes are called **safe primes**.

It is conjectured that there are infinitely many safe primes, and the number of safe primes in the interval [1, n] is approximately:

$$\frac{1.32}{(\ln n)^2}$$

Thus, if p is 2048 bits long, you might need to try about 1.5 million candidate values before finding a safe prime.